Study on Profitability of Manufacturing Industries

- * Suraj S. Mathad
- ** Savitha Kulkarni

Abstract

Few lines on manufacturing industry

India's manufacturing sector is a key driver of economic growth, contributing significantly to GDP and employment. Major industries include textiles, automobiles, pharmaceuticals, and electronics. The "Make in India" initiative has boosted domestic production and foreign investments. With a growing skilled workforce and robust infrastructure, India is emerging as a global manufacturing hub.

By examining financial performance indicators like return on equity (ROE), net profit margin, and capital structure, this study explores the variables impacting profitability in the Indian manufacturing sector. The study looks at connections between important financial variables, such as capital expenditure (CAPEX), earnings per share (EPS), operating profit margin, and total liabilities, using data from five publicly traded corporations during ten years (2014–2023). The results show that while EPS and operating profit margin show favourable correlations with ROE, CAPEX, COGS, and liabilities have a negative impact. In order to increase profitability and guarantee long-term sustainability in the manufacturing sector, the study emphasizes the significance of effective resource allocation, debt management, and revenue diversification.

Keywords: Manufacturing sector, Return On Equity (ROE), net profit margin, and capital structure, profitability

Introduction of the topic

Every trade focuses on long-term maintainability, and one of the main factors influencing this supportability over time is benefit. Ensuring consistent benefits might be a simple task for companies or business visionaries. Businesses periodically evaluate their methods and exercises to increase productivity to achieve this. As a result, predicting future benefits from previous performance becomes fundamental.

Various metrics, including return on resources, return on capital used, return on net worth, cash return on capital contributed, net benefit edge, and net benefit edge, can be used to quantify benefit. At that time, the relative execution of the commerce is surveyed by comparing these productivity indicators to specific benchmarks.

Understanding the factors that set their productivity apart from others in the sector is essential for companies and businesspeople. However, for more diversified organizations, it becomes crucial to study the factors that lead to differences in productivity among different types of businesses. Examining these differences using individual companies' budgeting data might be difficult and time-consuming.

Relying on the aggregate or typical financial data of businesses within a specific industry can help mitigate this complexity because it speaks to the businesses that can be categorized as tall entertainers or moo entertainers by using these comparisons. Businesses must understand how additional budgeting execution metrics affect benefit in addition to benefit measurement.

Understanding the factors that set their productivity apart from others in the sector is essential for companies and businesspeople. However, for organizations that are more diversified, it becomes crucial to study the factors that lead to differences in productivity among different types of businesses. It might be difficult and time-consuming to examine these differences using individual companies' budgeting data.

Relying on the aggregate or typical financial data of businesses within a specific industry can help to mitigate this complexity because it speaks to the typical traits of companies operating in that sector. In this context, the program looks into the elements that provide one fabrication company an advantage over another.

^{*} KLS Institute of Management Education & Research, Belagavi

^{**} Assistant Professor, KLS Institute of Management Education & Research, Belagavi



Literature Review

A lot of research has been done on evaluating the financial performance of manufacturing companies both domestically and abroad. The determinants of profitability have been the subject of numerous studies that have looked at the ways in which different financial variables affect profitability. Few studies have focused on companies inside a particular industry in India; most have examined manufacturing enterprises across many industries.

Kant, M. C. T. (2018)

The purpose of this study is to assess the factors influencing the productivity of manufacturing companies listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Firm estimate, increased inquiry and advancement, development rate, efficiency, age, net resource turnover, usage proportion, and current proportion are among the elements that are being evaluated. Benefit is then the subordinate variable. Data on 250 American fabrication companies was gathered from the ORBIS database between 2012 and 2017. The results indicate a positive correlation between the subordinate variable, benefit, and speculation in research and improvement, development rate, worker efficiency, usage proportion, and current proportion. There was no objectively significant correlation between age and benefit and the independent factors firm assessed. This leads to the conclusion that productivity and net resource turnover are negatively correlated.

Saleem, S., & Shafi, M. K. (October 2023)

This study evaluates the factors influencing productivity differences among various Indian fabrication companies. The study uses total budgetary justifications from 52 manufacturing companies, obtained from the CMIE Industry Viewpoint database, spanning the years 2016–17 to 2018–19. The companies were divided into three productivity groups: tall, medium, and mo. The researcher used multi-nominal computed analysis to determine that turnover and dissolvability metrics (such as interest cover, obligation benefit scope, and debt equity percentage) are essential for differentiating between these benefit levels. Numerous relapse investigations supported these findings, confirming that dissolvability measures significantly impact industrial benefits. The study emphasizes how important it is to comprehend how different budgetary execution metrics affect overall benefits in manufacturing segments. Although productivity analysis has been identified as a useful tactic, it is still a poorly studied area. This study expands on the limited research on benefit analysis by using focus interviews to examine the situations in which productivity analysis is or is not planned, as well as the reasons behind various types of benefit analysis. Are they organized, and how are they applied while making decisions?

Brierley, J.A. (2016)

A few eminent studies show that working units get ready profitability analysis when there's an intrigued in planning it and the assets exist to prepare it. Working units get ready both item productivity investigation (PPA) and client productivity investigation (CPA) to help with expanding benefits through overseeing low-profit or loss-making clients. The point is to distinguish those items that contribute to the moo benefit or bad benefit of a client. In those working units planning as it were PPA, its work is to identify low benefit or unrewarding items. This data is used to help in deciding what action ought to be taken to extend the benefits of those items. In differentiation, client-centered working units deliver as it were a CPA. Catchphrases:

productivity examination; item productivity examination; client benefit examination; consideration coordinating data; coordinated utilization of benefit examination in decision-making.

Agarwal, R. N. (1991)

The inquiry about productivity and development within the Indian vehicle fabricating industry since 1975 analyzes a few key perspectives. 1. The study evaluates the impact of arrangement modifications from 1981 to 1982 on productivity and development and determines whether businesses have had super typical gains since the removal of cost restraints in 1975. The study, which uses Tobin's q as a measure of productivity, finds no evidence of extraordinary benefits, instead attributing them to factors including business age, vertical integration, expansion, and changes in industry approaches. Broadening, industry arrangement, net held advantages, and capacity growth all affect how businesses develop. The analysis also emphasizes differences in performance between the automobile and non-automotive sectors, as well as differences within these sectors, reflecting the industry's development and the effects of financial liberalization and governmental policies, omitting progress.

1. Revathy, S., & Santhi, V.

The study divides manufacturing firms into two time periods (before and post-merger) and three stages (spearheading, development, and union). Using a sample of 70 businesses selected through a multi-phase inspection process, the study discovers a significant inverse association between productivity and the debt-to-equity ratio. In particular, it reveals that an increase in the debt-to-equity ratio has the opposite effect on the profits of manufacturing firms listed on the Bombay Stock Exchange in India. Steady with other considerations, the discoveries demonstrate that obligation proportions harm

KLS IMER



productivity, adjusting with the expectations of the Pecking-Order Theory[1][3][4]. The ponder moreover highlights that firm-specific variables such as measure, liquidity, and tangibility can impact this relationship, although the essential effect is credited to the capital structure choices made by the companies. This inquiry underscores the significance of ideal capital structure in upgrading the benefit of fabricating firms in India.

Karak, A., & Basu, D. (22 February 2019)

This article starts with a study of the well-known claim by Besley and Burgess concerning the negative effect of work direction on organized segment fabricating execution in India. Within the moment portion of the article, the creators utilize a state-level board information set for the period 1969–2005 to examine the relative significance of benefit (rate of benefit as a rate of the overall substitution fetched of capital stock) and mechanical debate (man-days misplaced to all mechanical debate as a rate of add up to laborers utilized) to clarify cross-state varieties of fabricating execution in India's organized segment. Utilizing three distinctive measures of fabricating execution — net esteem included, speculation and business — they discover that benefit is more critical than mechanical debate in clarifying the variety of fabricating division execution over Indian states. The discoveries displayed here therefore address the uncritical acknowledgment of Besley and Burgess's comes about within the writing on work control.

Panda, A. K., & Nanda, S. (26 January 2018)

This paper looks at the relationship between working capital financing (WCF) and firm benefit in six key fabricating segments of the Indian economy. The consideration, crossing from 2000 to 2016, includes a test of 1,211 firms and utilizes a two-step generalized strategy of minutes (GMM) estimator to analyze the non-linear relationship between WCF and productivity.

The discoveries uncover a sector-specific effect: an arched relationship between WCF and benefit within the chemical, development, and shopper merchandise divisions, demonstrating that these firms can fund a bigger parcel of their working capital through short-term obligations without adversely influencing profitability. In differentiation, firms within the apparatus, metal, and material businesses show a concave relationship, recommending that whereas introductory increments in short-term obligation financing can improve productivity, advance increments may have negative impacts.

Also, the ponder appears that firms with tall money related adaptability and tall price-cost edges can increment productivity by financing a bigger parcel of their working capital necessities through short-term obligations, in spite of the fact that this approach carries dangers. The comes about highlight the significance of sector-specific and firm-specific variables in deciding the ideal working capital financing procedure to maximize benefit.

Majumdar, S. K., & Bhattacharjee, A. (22 Aug 2013)

The affect of spinouts on parent companies and their execution is multifaceted. Spinouts, established by previous workers of officeholder firms, can beat other unused wanders due to the exchange of information and systems from the parent company, but they frequently confront competition from the parent firm itself.

Spinouts can upgrade parent firm execution by expanding corporate coherence, either by refocusing assets on center competencies or settling top-level clashes. This permits the parent company to center on its center operations without the redirection of assets to fragments with diverse needs, possibly driving to made strides development and development.

In any case, the method of making a spinout can be exorbitant and diverting for the parent company, and there's no ensure that the spun-out division will be productive on its claim. In spite of these challenges, spinoffs can open esteem for both the parent and the unused substance, permitting the spun-out division to raise its claim capital and work autonomously. Verifiably, spinoffs have for the most part performed well over time, with both the parent company and the backup regularly outflanking the showcase within the a long time taking after the spinoff.

Supran, K., & Das, D. (13 April 2016)

Employing a state-industry board information set of 55 businesses for 19 major Indian states over the period 1983–84 to 2007–08, we examine the contemporaneous and long-run impacts of the rate of benefit and its components—profit share, capacity utilization rate, and capacity- capital ratio—on venture utilizing direct energetic board information models. Our comes about appearing that:

the rate of benefit has both brief and long-run positive impacts on speculation; (b) the benefit share and capacity-capital proportion have primarily long-run positive impacts, but the capacity utilization rate encompasses a more complex design of effect on venture.

Sharma, S.K. (October 12, 2015)

The ponder measures the determinants and measurements of efficiency and developments utilizing board information of diverse mechanical bunches at the smaller scale level for the fabricating division of one of the creating states of the Indian



economy. The ponder could be a novel attempt to apply the Malmquist efficiency list in conjunction with its components. Moreover, endeavours have been made to recognize a few macroeconomic and infrastructural variables and their affiliation with the level of efficiency. The consideration is more likely to be free from any sort of mutilations as the show endeavor concentrates on industry-level examination which appreciates different common highlights and constitutes a homogeneous test due to their operations in comparable financial situations and subject to the same administrative administration. Profitability-productivity association confirms the gigantic potential for chosen categories. The ponder demonstrates that there's not as it were a have to fortify the level of developments and ideal utilization of assets but moreover, a basic commitment to adjust and harmonize both viewpoints.

Objectives of the study

- Analyze productivity metrics to evaluate important benefit metrics, including net benefit edge, return on resources, and return on capital used, to determine how they affect trade maintainability.
- Compare Industry Execution: Using budgetary execution comparisons, identify the factors differentiating high- and low-performing companies within the fabricating industry.
- Examine the Enhancement Effect: To investigate how expanding across industries affects benefits and to understand the difficulties in comparing these differences using financial data.
- Use Amassed Information: To look into the use of accumulated financial data to rearrange the ideas regarding benefit types and ensuring an agent assessment of regular companies within a sector.

Research Methodology

Research is a systematic, scientific study. It means an intensive and powerful search for knowledge and understanding of social and physical phenomena. It is a method for scientifically discoursing of value. Methodology means a set of methods used to study the problem.

Meaning of Research

Research means an intensive, powerful search to discover true values in scientific ways. It is not merely the accumulation and communication of generalizations as a basis for action and foresight.

Research Design

- Descriptive research is used because the data is collected, processed, and presented as a project
- The goal of this research is to learn about an industry's profitability
- The research will look into how the industries manage their profitability
- The data is collected from screener, a leading database for financial data. The study considerers 05 companies which
 are listed in BSE from the Indian manufacturing industry sector. There are totally 50 observations i.e. (05 companies
 * 10 years data). The data of 10 years i.e. 2014 to 2023, both inclusive is considered for the analysis. The missing
 data is excluded for analysis.

The research will last eight weeks

Secondary data

Secondary data is the data already collected by someone else and which is used for our study purpose. It is the data, which gives relevant information in the different fields, wherever we want. Secondary data is collected through the manual, internet Correlation

Volume XIX, 2023 _______ 46

Table1: Correlation Analysis

| | LOG ROE | LOG CAPEX | LOG COGS | LOG EBITDA | LOG EPS | LOG NET PROFIT MARGIN | LOG OPERA- TING PROFIT MARGIN | LOG P/E RATIO | LOG REVENUE | LOG SHARE- HOLDER EQUITY | LOG TOTAL ASSETS | LOG TOTAL LIABI- LITIES | LOG GROSS PROFIT MARGIN |
|--------------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| LOG ROE | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOG CAPEX | -0.29 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOG COGS | -0.20 | 0.82 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOG EBITDA | -0.09 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| LOG EPS | 0.45 | -0.13 | 0.11 | 0.57 | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| LOG NET PROFIT MARGIN | -0.23 | -0.30 | -0.22 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| LOG OPERATING PROFIT MARGIN | 0.25 | - 0.17 | - 0.16 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 0.45 | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| LOG P/E RATIO | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.10 | - 0.08 | 0.38 | - 0.21 | 0.03 | 1.00 | | | | | |
| LOG REVENUE | - 0.17 | 0.86 | 0.95 | 0.47 | 0.07 | - 0.37 | - 0.26 | 0.15 | 1.00 | | | | |
| LOG SHAREHOLDER EQUITY | - 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.09 | - 0.05 | 0.64 | 1.00 | | | |
| LOG TOTAL ASSETS | - 0.34 | 0.89 | 0.81 | 0.16 | - 0.38 | -0.37 | -0.48 | -0.01 | 0.87 | 0.34 | 1.00 | | |
| LOG TOTAL LIABILITIES | - 0.29 | 0.56 | 0.85 | 0.74 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.05 | -0.11 | 0.76 | 0.90 | 0.56 | 1.00 | |
| LOG GROSS PROFIT MARGIN | 0.09 | -0.51 | -0.52 | -0.57 | -0.26 | -0.14 | -0.44 | 0.10 | -0.38 | -0.49 | -0.25 | 0.07 | 1.00 |

Table 1, refers to the correlation existing between the various variables chosen from study.

Table 2: Hypothesis

| Hypothesis | t-statistic | Probability | Decision |
|--|-------------|-------------|----------|
| There is no correlation between ROE and Capex. | -0.757062 | 0.4574 | Reject |
| There is no correlation between ROE and COGS | 0.513853 | 0.6127 | Reject |
| There is no correlation between ROE and EBITDA | -0.811925 | 0.4259 | Accept |
| There is a correlation between ROE and EPS | 1.529047 | 0.1412 | Reject |
| There is no correlation between ROE and net profit margin | 0.180412 | 0.8586 | Reject |
| There is a correlation between ROE operating profit margin | 2.067217 | 0.0513 | Reject |
| There is a correlation between ROE and P/E ratio | 0.921047 | 0.3675 | Reject |
| There is no correlation between ROE and revenue | 0.502368 | 0.6206 | Accept |
| There is no correlation between ROE and shareholder equity | 0.899293 | 0.3787 | Accept |
| There is no correlation between ROE total assets | 0.919074 | 0.3685 | Reject |
| There is no correlation between ROE and total liabilities | -3.008413 | 0.0067 | Reject |
| There is a correlation between ROE and gross profit margin | 0.701677 | 0.4906 | Accept |



Findings

Correlation Study

- Negative Correlations: CAPEX, COGS, EBITDA, revenue, shareholder equity, and total liabilities are among the factors that have a negative association with ROE.
- Positive relationships: The operating profit margin, P/E ratio, gross profit margin, and EPS all exhibit positive relationships with ROE.
- Different correlations have different magnitudes, which suggests that ROE and the other financial measures are associated to differing degrees.

Testing Hypotheses

• The hypotheses were rejected for the majority of the variables since the correlations were not statistically significant, as indicated by p-values greater than 0.05.

Notable exclusions: ROE and total liabilities have a significantly significant negative connection (p < 0.05).

Although the relationship between ROE and factors like sales and shareholder equity was acknowledged, its importance is still limited.

Interpretation of Regression: Important conclusions drawn from the regression coefficients:

Direct correlations, such as those between ROE and variables like operating profit margin, are shown by positive coefficients.

Inverse correlations, like those between ROE and CAPEX, are shown by negative coefficients.

Suggestions

- Capital Outlays (CAPEX): Priorities CAPEX optimization since overinvestment may harm profitability, as indicated by its negative link with ROE.
- Efficiency in Operations: Since COGS and EBITDA have negative correlations with ROE, successfully manage COGS and increase EBITDA.
- Diversification of Revenue: Improve revenue sources to counteract the shaky and unfavorable correlation with ROE.
- Measures of profitability: Priorities raising operating profit margins and EPS since they have a good correlation with ROE and may lead to improved financial results.
- Management of Liability: High liabilities should be addressed because they have a substantial negative link with ROE, which suggests that debt management needs to be improved.
- Shareholder Attention: Create plans to increase shareholder equity because it has a varied but noticeable relationship with ROE

Conclusion

The analysis of manufacturing industry profitability reveals the crucial elements impacting the long-term viability and financial stability of companies operating in this field. Profit margins, return on equity, and return on assets are important profitability metrics that offer important information about financial performance and operational effectiveness. The analysis shows that factors like market diversification, capital structure, and cost control have a big influence on profitability. Furthermore, smart investments in technology and innovation, when combined with efficient resource allocation, become essential catalysts for development and competitive advantage.

The results highlight how crucial it is to take a balanced approach to financial planning, focusing on optimizing liabilities and capital expenditures while promoting income diversification and operational effectiveness. Manufacturing companies may improve their strategic decision-making and secure long-term profitability and resilience in a market that is becoming more competitive and dynamic by utilizing these insights.

Volume XIX, 2023 _______ 48

KLS IMER

tatva

References

- Agarwal, R. N. (1991). Profitability and growth in the Indian automobile manufacturing industry: Tobin's q analysis. [Publisher/Institution, if available].
- Brierley, J. A. (2016). An examination of the use of profitability analysis in the manufacturing industry. *Journal of Empirical Economics*. [Volume & Issue, if available].
- Kant, M. C. T. (2018, July 10). Factors influencing the profitability of manufacturing firms listed on the New York Stock Exchange. *Letters on Empirical Economics*, 21.
- Karak, A., & Basu, D. (2019, February 22). Profitability or industrial relations: State-level panel data study on manufacturing in India. [Publisher/Institution, if available].
- Majumdar, S. K., & Bhattacharjee, A. (2013, August 22). Institutional change and manufacturing sector profitability variances in India. [Publication details missing].
- Panda, A. K., & Nanda, S. (2018, January 26). Working capital financing and corporate profitability of Indian manufacturing firms. [Publication or journal details missing].
- Revathy, S., & Santhi, V. Impact of capital structure on profitability of manufacturing companies in India. [Further details missing].
- Saleem, S., & Shafi, M. K. (2023, October). Profitability differences in Indian manufacturing: An inter-industry analysis. *CMIE Industry Viewpoint*. [Publication details missing].
- Sharma, S. K. (2015, October 12). Productivity, innovations, and profitability of manufacturing industries in India. [Further details missing].
- Supran, K., & Das, D. (2016, April 13). Profitability and investment: Evidence from India's organized manufacturing sector. [Details on publication missing].